## MIXED SIGNALS The Chinese Government and the 2017 Anti-South Korean Movement

# By Jack Ramsey $\circ$ Thanks to Dr. Laura Field $\circ$ Mentor: Dr. Yang Zhang

#### **Research Question**

Why did the Chinese government allow protests against South Korea in 2017?



#### **Literature Review**

Why do authoritarian states allow protest?

Domestic Concerns School: Because of domestic benefits

Unhelpful Constraints School: Because it can't suppress them

Audience Costs School: In order to signal resolve

	Results. Fredicted Vs. Actual Government Stance on Frotests						
	Case / Variable	H₁: Perceived Resolve of Foreign Country	<i>H</i> <sub>2</sub> : Signal Credibility of Protests	H <sub>3</sub> : Risk to Stability of Protests	Predicted Government Stance	DV: Actual Government Stance on Protests	
	Anti- American (1999)	Medium- high	Very high, then medium	High	Allowance, then restriction	Ambivalence, then restriction	
	Anti- Japanese (2005)	High	High	Medium, then high	Allowance, then restriction	Subtle encouragement, then restriction	
	Anti-South Korean (2017)	High	Medium (mixed)	Low	Allowance	Support and some direct coercion	

Explanation: Economic Retaliation and Signal Credibility

	Case / Variable	Degree of Economic Pressure	Degree of Government Support for Economic Pressure	H <sub>2</sub> : Signal Credibility of Protests
	Anti-American (1999)	Low	(Mostly absent)	Very high, ther medium
	Anti-Japanese (2005)	Medium	Ambivalence	High
	Anti-South Korean (2017)	Very high	Direct support and some top-down leadership	Medium (mixed

### Methodology

Small-n Case Study

-Comparing the 2017 protests to anti-Japanese protests in 2005 and anti-American protests in 1999

-Utilizing official government releases and coverage by both Chinese government-owned and foreign media

#### Findings

-The existing model can still predict the allowance of protests

-Signal credibility seems to decrease with economic coercion

#### Implications

-Economic coercion can still produce concessions, but not voluntary ones (as in the 1999 and 2005 cases)

-Could lead to more antagonistic foreign relations and threaten the global trade regime