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Introduction

In the second half of the 1980s, an unprecedented development in Sogdian studies began. This did not result from the discovery of a mass of new written documents (contrary to what happened with Bactrian studies), nor to a large extension of field archaeology (on the contrary, the great excavations inherited from the Soviet period have since shrunk due to financial difficulties, with a few exceptions such as Samarkand and Paykend). The main reason for the blossoming of Sogdian studies has been, on the one hand, better communication among the specialists involved, and, on the other hand, chance discoveries in China, which have added a new angle to the perception of the historical role of the Sogdians.

Archaeological information from the Soviet republics, hitherto very sparsely disseminated in Western publications, has quite suddenly become more abundant. This is partly because all Soviet scholars, not only the senior ones, have been systematically encouraged to publish abroad, and partly because two newly created journals took a great interest in Central Asia: The Bulletin of the Asia Institute (USA, published yearly since 1987) and Silk Road Art and Archaeology (Japan, published yearly since 1990 with special issues). Sogdiana and the Sogdians have exerted the greatest attraction, thanks to their historical role as intermediaries along the Silk Road and also to their particularly refined artistic culture (already in 1981 Sogdian Painting, published under the direction of Guitty Azarpay, was a revelation for many).

In reality, Sogdian studies have never ceased being cultivated in the West since the beginning of the 20th century, but for the main part had been confined to philologists working on the text material brought back by the British, German, French, and Russian expeditions in the Tarim region. The Sogdian part of this material is now fully published, except for some stray fragments. These generations of philologists achieved step-by-step a good understanding of the native Sogdian language, an Iranian language written in several scripts (in accordance to the various religions) which has been extinct since the eleventh century. In contrast, Western museums had contained very few items from Sogdiana (mostly coins), and just a few of these objects originated from Sogdian colonies in China (the funerary reliefs from Anyang and the religious image from the Pelliot collection, only recently recognized as Sogdian). What made Western archaeologists eventually come to the Sogdian field was the inaccessibility of Afghanistan after 1979 and, later, the invitations extended by the Soviet archaeological authorities as a consequence of perestroika. The French mission (MAFOUZ, i.e. Mission Archéologique Franco-Ouzbèke) opened the way at Samarkand in 1989, using the experience acquired by the DAFA (Délégation Archéologique Française en Afghani-

stan, 1922-1982) to which most of its founding members had belonged. Other foreign missions have followed recently: Italians in the Bukharan oasis (la Sapienza University, Rome) and now in the southern Zeravshan valley (Istituto Italiano per l’Africa e l’Oriente); and a joint mission of the University of California, Berkeley, and the Samarkand Institute of Archaeology in the Shahr-i Sabz oasis. Two German missions, a Polish one, and another French one (in Tajikistan) are also operating, but they specialize in the pre- and proto-historical periods which are not covered in the present paper.

Another decisive factor in the development of Sogdian studies has been the recent recognition of the Sogdian influx as a major factor in the cultural history of China in the Wei, Qi, Song and early Tang periods (fifth to eighth centuries) after many years of indifference or actual denial by Chinese scholars. Commercial records in Turco-Sogdian and Chinese, found in Turfan and Dunhuang, shed new light on the integration of Sogdian colonies into the social fabric of early mediaeval China. At the same time, the spectacular discovery of several sets of funerary reliefs commissioned by Sogdians in the second half of the sixth century has revealed a fully developed secular iconography in Sogdiana itself for this early period, of which almost no record survives. At present Sogdians are very much in fashion among Chinese archaeologists and historians, a trend one can only be pleased with, even if it occasionally is accompanied by some over-interpretation of the data. One can be sure that more texts and archaeological objects will surface in the near
future. The masterly book by Etienne de la Vaissière, *Histoire des marchands sogdiens* (Paris 2002), was the first fruit of the recognition of the existence of a "Sogdian space" extending far beyond the narrow limits of Sogdiana itself: to lands of Sogdian agricultural colonization in southern Kyrgyzstan and Kazakhstan, to communities in the heart of China and Crimea, to administrators at the court of the Turkish qaghans in Mongolia, and even to individual traders in the Southern Seas.

The international community of Sogdians was numerous, but today the community of "Sogdologists" is still very narrow. The reader will probably notice a tedious recurrence of authors' names in the following listings. This situation, however, is rapidly changing as more and more students (especially American, Chinese, French, Italian, Japanese, and Russian) are entering this worthwhile field.

**Reference journals**

In addition to the two main journals already quoted (*Bulletin of the Asia Institute* and *Silk Road Art and Archaeology*, *Studia Iranica* (published in Paris) devotes much space to Sogdian subjects, both linguistic and archaeological. In Russian, *Rossiiskaia arkheologii* [Russian archaeology, formerly *Sovetskaia Arkheologii*] and *Vestnik drevnei istorii* [Journal of ancient history], both published in Moscow, still occasionally publish articles on Central Asia, but far less than before. For local information one has to consult *Istoriia material'noi kul'tury Uzbekistana* (IMKU) [History of the material culture of Uzbekistan], published by the Institute of Archaeology at Samarkand, and to *Arkheologicheskie raboty v Tadzhikistane* (ART) [Archaeological works in Tajikistan], published by the Institute of History at Dushanbe. The first journal is published regularly, the second one with long delays. Both can only be obtained through academic contacts. The Samarkand Institute of Archaeology also publishes monographs, and a collection of yearly archaeological reports: *Arkheologicheskie issledovanii v Uzbekistane* [Archaeological researches in Uzbekistan].

**Bibliographical listings with commentaries**

Some preliminary observations:

1) Almost all the works listed have been published since 1986. A few references prior to that date have been kept, however, when they still retain their value as books for daily consultation (e.g. I. Gershevitch, *A grammar of Manichean Sogdian*, 1954, or G. Azarpay, *Sogdian Painting*, 1981).

2) As usual (unfortunately) in scholarship, all the more so in Soviet and post-Soviet scholarship, many authors "recycle" material from articles to books, or from article to article, or from a version published in one language to a version in another. In such cases an effort has been made to retain only the most accessible and/or up-to-date presentation.

3) Materials of purely local relevance or miscellaneous character have not been retained, as they are incorporated (or destined to be incorporated) into broader synthesis. For such information the reader is referred to the tables of contents of the archaeological journals listed above. This rule does not apply, of course, to major sites, monuments or works of art, each of which generates a substantial bibliography by itself.

4) References in Russian (marked by an asterisk) have been kept to a minimum, i.e. to materials which have not been channelled through a Western language. Although their relative importance is decreasing, much important information is and will remain accessible only through publications in Russian and no student specializing in Sogdian studies can avoid learning this language (a most rewarding investment anyway).

The material is organized under the following headings:

1. General works on Sogdiana, books on the history and archaeology of Central Asia
2. Bibliographies
3. Sogdian language and literature (general)
4. Sogdian texts of direct historical relevance (editions and studies)
5. Toponymy, historical geography
6. Coins
7. Historiography of archaeological research
8. Architecture (general)
9. Visual arts (general)
10. Music
11. Archaeological sites
   a. Samarkand
   b. Middle Zeravshan valley (including the nomadic cemeteries
   c. Bukhara and Paykend
   d. Kashka-darya valley (southern Sogdiana)
   e. Panjikent
   f. Upper Zeravshan Valley
   g. Ustrushana (northeast of Samarkand)
   h. Chach (the Tashkent area)
   i. Sogdian settlements in southern Kyrgyzstan
12. Studies on specific historical periods
13. The Sogdians in the international trade
14. Archeological and textual testimonies of Sogdians in China
15. Religions
   a. Local (Sogdian form of Zoroastrianism)
   b. Buddhism in Sogdiana
   c. Christianity in Sogdiana and in the Sogdian colonies
   d. Manicheism in Sogdiana and in the Sogdian colonies
1. General Works on Sogdiana, books on the history and archaeology of Central Asia


Litvinskij, Boris A. La civilisation de l'Asie centrale ancienne. Rahden / Westf.: Leidorf, 1998 (Archäologie in Iran und Turan, Bd. 3).

The two volumes of the UNESCO History of civilizations of Central Asia which cover the period under exami-nation are very unevenly reliable and were loosely coordinated. The chapters were distributed according to principles of geopolitical "balance" hardly compatible with good scholarship. This in-convenience, does not, however, much affect the chapters concerning Sogdiana, which were entrusted to competent archaeologists, albeit too many (Mukhamedjanov, Litvinsky, Negmatov, Zadneprovskiy, Zeimal, Marshak, Zhang Guang-da). The publi-cation was long delayed, which results in excessive outdating. Litvinskij's handbook is solid, but also slightly outdated by the time of its publication. The same remark applies the two Russian volumes, which however recommend themselves (even to those who do not read Russian) by their plates combin-ing on a homogeneous scale selections of material representative from all re-gions and sub-periods.

2. Bibliographies

Grenet, Frantz et collaborateurs: L'Asie centrale préislamique. Bibliogra- phie critique 1977-1986. Téhéran-Paris: Institut Français de Recherche en Iran, 1988 (Abstracta Iranica, volume hors-série 3) [re-print of reviews published in Abstracta Iranica 1-10, with supplement and indexes; continued, mainly by É. de la Vaissière, in the subsequent volumes of Abstracta Iranica (11-23-), section "Est de l'Iran").


3. Sogdian language and litera-ture (general)


Sims-Williams provides an update for Gershevitch's Grammar which remains indispensable (not only for the Manichean variant of the Sogdian language). Yutaka Yoshida has announced The Sogdian language, a textbook which will be most useful. Tremblay is not easy reading, but his book (despite the limited scope of its title) offers the only existing exhaustive bibliography on every text which has come to us in every language once spoken in Central Asia.

4. Sogdian texts of direct histori-cal relevance (editions and studies)


Sims-Williams, Nicholas & Hamilton, James. Documents turco-sogdiens du IXe-Xe siècle de Touen-houang.
The two main collections of primary historical sources in Sogdian are:

a) the five Ancient Letters (written in 313-314 by Sogdian merchants in Kansu, discovered in 1907 by Sir Aurel Stein near Dunhuang, kept in the British Library);

b) the Mugh documents (the archive of Dewashtich, last king of Panjikent, hidden in 722 in a mountain castle on the Upper Zeravshan, discovered in 1932, kept in the Institute of Oriental Studies in Saint-Petersburg). For the latter the documents are accessible in facsimile (Documents from Mt. Mugh, Moscow: Corpus Inscriptionum Iranicarum, 1963) and in a complete Russian edition which retains most of its value: Sogdiskie dokumenty s Gory Mug. Chtenie, perevod, kommentarii [Sogdian documents from Mount Mugh. Reading, translation, commentaries]. Moscow, 3 vols, 1962-63 (A.A. Freiman, V.A. Livshits, M.N. Bogoljubov, O.I. Smirnova). Several documents have been recently re-edited (see above Grenet & de la Vaissière, “The last days of Panjikent”; Yakubovich), others are in the process of re-edition.

For the Ancient Letters the pioneering edition by H. Reichelt (Die sogdischen Handschriftenreste des Britischen Museums, II: Die nicht-buddhistischen Texte, Heidelberg, 1931), accompanied with facsimiles, is obsolete and will eventually be replaced by a new edition by N. Sims-Williams, who has already published articles alone or with collaborators who have written the historical commentaries (see above). Sogdian epigraphy is covered by Moriyasu and Ochir (the Sogdian inscriptions commissioned by the first Turkish empire) and by Sims-Williams (in particular for the Upper Indus Inscriptions discovered since 1979; his publication also provides the main reference to date on Sogdian onomastics). For recently discovered documents of a day-to-day character concerning Sogdian communities in China (merchants, peasants, monks), see below, the section “Archaeological and textual testimonies of Sogdians in China” (de la Vaissière & Trombert, Sims-Williams). Taken all together, these written records in the Sogdian language provide no more than glimpses on specific places and periods. The bulk of information on Sogdiana itself comes from Chinese records from the sixth to eighth centuries (E. Chavannes, Documents sur les Tou-kiue (Turcs) occidentaux. Saint-Pétersbourg, 1903) and from Arabic chronicles concerning the conquest (mainly The History of al-Tabari, 38 vols., Albany: State University of New York Press, 1987-97).

5. Toponymy, historical geography


The historical geography of metropolitan Sogdiana is for the most part the domain of philologists (the ultimate reference being W. Barthold, Turkestan down to the Mongol invasion. 3rd ed. London, 1968, chap. I: Geographical survey of Transoxiana). Archaeologists in Soviet Central Asia, and now in Uzbekistan and Tajikistan, undertook various regional surveys, but they were (and still are) not in a position to back them with a proper apparatus of large-scale maps, air photographs, and satellite photographs, which are not accessible to them or, when they are, cannot be published. Consequently, the results of these surveys often take the form of bare lists of sites which are not precisely located. At the moment the best map of the Sogdian principalities (but with a limited choice of sites) is in la Vaissière 2002 (see below, section “The Sogdians in the international trade”). An issue presently under discussion concerns where the exact border of the historical regions of Bactria and Sogdiana was in Antiquity. The present author’s opinion, shared with Claude Rapin (see joint article quoted), but not with all specialists, is that the area called Sogdiana initially extended southward to the Oxus river (the Amu-darya) and shrank subsequently, the limit being established on the Hisar and Baysun ranges only from the Kushan period (first to third centuries CE). Taken in this perspective, the Hellenistic temple of the Oxus excavated at Takht-i Sangin on the northern bank was originally on Sogdian territory. On these excavations, the main references in Western languages are now: Litvinskii, B.A. & Pichikjan, I.R., “The Hellenistic architecture and art of the temple of the Oxus,” Bulletin of the Asia Institute, 8 (1994 [1996]), 47-66; Litvinskii, Boris A. & Pichikjan, Igor R., Taxt-i Sangin, Der Oxus-Tempel, Mainz: Verlag Philipp von Zabern, 2002 (Archäologie in Iran und Turan, Bd. 4); Bernard, Paul, “Le temple du dieu Oxus à Takht-i Sangin en Bactriane: temple du feu ou pas?,” Studia Iranica, 23 (1994), 81-121 (a critical assessment of some interpretations of the excavators).

6. Coins


-----.”The circulation of coins in Cen-
central Asia during the early medieval period” (fifth to eighth centuries A.D.).” Bulletin of the Asia Institute, 8 (1994 [1996]), 245-267.

Zeimal’s article in the Cambridge History of Iran, a translation of an article originally published in Russian, presents the best analysis (despite a bias for late dating) of the early stage of the coinage of Sogdiana, from the imitations of Greek coinage until the seventh century. His article in BAI follows up.

7. Historiography of archaeological research


8. Architecture (general)


Chmelnizkij is good reference for the abundance and quality of the illustrations, but his interpretations of the functions of some individual monuments are controversial. Together with a first-class study of the fortifications Semenov provides very interesting analyses on the historical context of the period covered.

9. Visual arts (general)


The books edited by Chuvin and by Tanabe provide the best selection of colour photographs now available.

10. Music


* Inevatkina, O.N. “Fortifikatsiia akropolii drevnego Samarkanda v seredine pervogo tysiateletia do n.e. [The fortification of the acropolis of ancient Samarkand in the middle of the first millennium BC].”

11. Archaeological sites

a. Samarkand


* Inevatkina, O.N. “Fortifikatsiia akropolii drevnego Samarkanda v seredine pervogo tysiateletia do n.e. [The fortification of the acropolis of ancient Samarkand in the middle of the first millennium BC].”

32


Shishkina gives a clear and complete summary of the state of knowledge on pre-Islamic Samarkand before the Franco-Uzbek archaeological mission started its work in 1989. Work is still progressing in the interpretation of the cycle of mural paintings known as the "Ambassadors’ painting," executed c. 660 in a royal residence and discovered in 1965 (see Grenet, Kageyama, Marshak).

b. Middle Zeravshan valley (including the nomadic cemeteries)


c. Bukhara and Paykend


Semenov, "Studien..." tackles also some questions not related to finds in Paykend, e.g. the repertory of tales in the painting of Panjikent.

d. Kashka-darya valley (southern Sogdiana)


This last book, unfortunately difficult to obtain, gives an account of combined surveys and excavations of the hitherto little known south-western part of Sogdiana (the historical region of Nakhshab) which flourished mostly in the period between the first and the sixth century AD, before the main focus of Sogdian civilization shifted to the Zeravshan valley. There is still no specific study of the upper Kashka-darya valley (the Shahr-i Sabz region).

e. Panjikent

* Belenitskii, A. M.; Marshak, B. I.; Raspopova, V. I. Yearly reports on the Panjikent excavations in Arkeologicheskie raboty v Tadjzikistane, continued until the 1985 season, in issue 25 (published 1994). Followed by Marshak, B.I.; Raspopova, V. I.; Shkoda, V. G. "Kratkii otchet o rabotakh na gorodishche Pendzhikenta v 1986-1999 godakh [Short report on the works at the ancient site of Panjikent in 1986-1999]," in issue 27 (published 2000), 189-208 (with full bibliography of the materials found during these seasons). Then superseded by:


Raspopova, Zhilishcha... is fundamental for a social study of the town. Marshak, Legends, tales, and fables in...
f. Upper Zeravshan valley


g. Ustrushana (northeast of Samarkand)


Color reproductions of the remarkable paintings from Shahristan (Bundzhikat), which include an image of the Roman she-wolf suckling the twins, are unfortunately incomplete and scattered in various books on the art of Central Asia and Sogdiana.

h. Chach (the Tashkent area)


12. Studies on specific historical periods


13. The Sogdians in the international trade


14. Archaeological and textual testimonies of Sogdians in China


Marshak’s article is now the main reference on the Sogdian funerary reliefs found in Northern China, as it gives the only systematic comparative study of the five main graves, together with excellent parallels with the art of metropolitan Sogdiana.

15. Religions

a) Local (Sogdian form of Zoroastrianism)


Most of the literature is about individual deities, paintings, and objects (the most informative of these being the ossuaries, terracotta receptacles used for keeping the bones preliminarily excarnated according to the Zoroastrian ritual and sometimes carrying images). A complete repertory of all known images of Sogdian gods, together with their possible identifications in the Zoroastrian and/or Hindu pantheons, is now much in need. Sims-Williams, “Some reflections...” provides a stimulating approach to the specificity of Sogdian (and Bactrian) Zoroastrianism compared with the “orthodox,” i.e. Sasanian, one. For a criticism of some of Marshak’s views on the Sogdian calendar see de Blois, François, “The Persian calendar,” Iran, 34 (1996), 39-54, esp. 46-49.

b) Buddhism in Sogdiana


c) Christianity in Sogdiana and in the Sogdian colonies


d) Manicheism in Sogdiana and in the Sogdian colonies


(see also Tremblay 2001).
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